

Review Report

Reviewer 1	Review Report (Round 1)	Review Report (Round 2)
Reviewer 2	Review Report (Round 1)	Review Report (Round 2)
Reviewer 3	Review Report (Round 1)	

Reviewer 1 round 1

Journal: Electronics (ISSN 2079-9252)
Manuscript ID: electronics-1336170
Type: Article
Title: Real-time Identification of Knee Joint Walking Gait as Preliminary Signal for Developing Lower Limb Rehabilitation Exoskeleton
Authors: Susanto Susanto, Ignatius Tia Simanungkalit, Rizka Ananda, Daniel Susopo Panungkas*, Hendawan Soedharto, Akhulhan Sani, Wahyu Casasariendha*Section: Systems & Control Engineering
Abstract: This work introduces a wearable sensor which aims to recognize the walking gait phase. The sensor itself in this work is an IMU sensor, used to recognize the pitch angle. In order to identify the walking gait cycle, Neural Network is proposed as a method. The gait cycle identification is generated to recognize the gait cycle on the knee joint. To verify the performance of the proposed method, experiments have been done in real-time application. The experiments were carried out with different processes such as walking on a flat floor, climbing up, and walking down stairs. The experiments show that the proposed method is able to recognize each gait cycle for all users when they wore the sensor on their knee joints.

The copyright for this review report has been licensed to the publisher. You can safely share this review.

Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 1)

Author's Notes: Dear Reviewer,
Thank you for the comments. We have tried our best to revise the paper accordingly. The Author's reply to the review report and the revised paper are attached.
Kind regards,
- Author -

Author's Notes File: Report Notes

Review Report Form

Quality of English Language	<input type="radio"/>	English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible
	<input type="radio"/>	Extensive editing of English language and style required
	<input type="radio"/>	Moderate English changes required
	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
	<input type="radio"/>	I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Is the research design appropriate?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Are the methods adequately described?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Are the results clearly presented?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comments and Suggestions for Author: This paper uses an IMU sensor to measure the knee joint angle of an exoskeleton robot. The following comments are made for you to improve your work:

1. New contributions are limited, since you only use limited sensors in this work, you have not designed or fabricated new sensors.
2. You said that neural network had been used, but I cannot see any neural networks about this.
3. In your conclusion, you said that "In the future, we will integrate this sensor to the prototype of the exoskeleton robot in order to use as a rehabilitation robot on the knee joint". that means you have not applied this sensor in your robot, right?
4. No any mathematical modeling or equations in this paper, the paper is too weak in theory.
5. The paper is suitable for robotics or rehabilitation related journal. It may not be suitable for this Electronics journal.

Submission Date: 27 July 2021
Date of this review: 09 Aug 2021 04:37:30

© 1996-2021 MCPH Group, Switzerland unless otherwise stated. [Disclaimer](#) [Terms and Conditions](#) [Privacy Policy](#)

Reviewer 1 Round 2

Journal: Electronics (ISSN 2075-9252)
back@journals.a.u.t
My Profile
Logout
Submit

– User Menu

- Home
- Manage Account
- Change Password
- Get Profile
- Logout

– Submission Menu

- Submit Manuscript
- Track Submitted Manuscripts
- Check Co-Author(s) Manuscripts
- English Editing
- Desktop Version
- Index
- LaTeX Word Count

– Reviewer Menu

- Review
- Reviewer Reference

The submitted for this review report has been listed in the database. You can safely close the window.

Authors' Response to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 1)

Author's Reply: Dear Reviewer 1,

Thank you for the recommendation to accept the paper.

Kind regards,

–Authors–

Review Report Form

<p>Quality of English Language</p> <p>Does the Introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?</p> <p>Is the research design appropriate?</p> <p>Are the methods adequately described?</p> <p>Are the results clearly presented?</p> <p>Are the conclusions supported by the results?</p> <p>Comments and Suggestions for Authors</p> <p>Submission Date</p> <p>Date of this review</p>	<p>() English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible</p> <p>() Extensive editing of English language and style required</p> <p>() Moderate English changes required</p> <p>(X) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required</p> <p>() I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Can be improved</td> <td>Must be improved</td> <td>Not applicable</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Does the Introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?</td> <td>(X)</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Is the research design appropriate?</td> <td>(X)</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Are the methods adequately described?</td> <td>(X)</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Are the results clearly presented?</td> <td>(X)</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Are the conclusions supported by the results?</td> <td>(X)</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> <td>()</td> </tr> </table> <p>The authors have made responses to my questions. It can be accepted now.</p> <p>27 July 2021</p> <p>16 Aug 2021 09:37:55</p>		Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable	Does the Introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	(X)	()	()	()	Is the research design appropriate?	(X)	()	()	()	Are the methods adequately described?	(X)	()	()	()	Are the results clearly presented?	(X)	()	()	()	Are the conclusions supported by the results?	(X)	()	()	()
	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable																											
Does the Introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	(X)	()	()	()																											
Is the research design appropriate?	(X)	()	()	()																											
Are the methods adequately described?	(X)	()	()	()																											
Are the results clearly presented?	(X)	()	()	()																											
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	(X)	()	()	()																											

© 1996-2021 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated
Disclaimer
Terms and Conditions
Privacy Policy

Reviewer 2 round 2

The screenshot displays a journal submission interface. On the left, there are navigation menus for 'User Menu', 'Submissions Menu', and 'Reviewers Menu'. The main content area shows the manuscript details for 'Electronics (ISSN 2079-9252)', manuscript ID 'electronics-1336170', and title 'Real-time Identification of Knee Joint Walking Gait as Preliminary Signal for Developing Lower Limb Rehabilitation Exoskeleton'. The authors listed are Susanto Susanto, Ignatius Tia Simanungkalit, Rizka Analia, Daniel Susopo Pamungkas, Hendawan Soedharto, Ahtofan Satri, and Wahyu Casasariemba. The section is 'Systems & Control Engineering'. A green banner states: 'The copyright for this review report has been transferred to the publisher. You can safely delete this review.' Below this is the 'Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 2)' section, where the author's note reads: 'Dear Reviewer 2, Thank you for the recommendation to accept the paper. Kind regards, -Authors -'. The 'Review Report Form' section shows a 'Quality of English Language' rating of 1, with a legend indicating that 1 means 'English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible' and 'Extensive editing of English language and style required'. The 'Comments and Suggestions for Authors' section contains the text: 'Thank you for your modifications and work!'. The submission date is 27 July 2021, and the date of this review is 17 Aug 2021 12:10:21. The footer includes copyright information for MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) and links for 'Disclaimer', 'Terms and Conditions', and 'Privacy Policy'.

Journal: Electronics (ISSN 2079-9252)
Manuscript ID: electronics-1336170
Type: Article
Title: Real-time Identification of Knee Joint Walking Gait as Preliminary Signal for Developing Lower Limb Rehabilitation Exoskeleton
Authors: Susanto Susanto, Ignatius Tia Simanungkalit, Rizka Analia, Daniel Susopo Pamungkas*, Hendawan Soedharto, Ahtofan Satri, Wahyu Casasariemba*
Section: Systems & Control Engineering
Abstract: This work introduces a wearable sensor which aims to recognize the walking gait phase. The sensor used in this work is an IMU sensor, used to recognize the pitch angle. In order to identify the walking gait cycle, Neural Network is proposed as a method. The gait cycle identification is generated to recognize the gait cycle on the knee joint. To verify the performance of the proposed method, experiments have been done in real-time application. The experiments were carried out with different processes such as walking on a flat floor, climbing up, and walking down stairs. The experiments show that the proposed method is able to recognize each gait cycle for all users when they wore the sensor on their knee joint.

The copyright for this review report has been transferred to the publisher. You can safely delete this review.

Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 2)

Author's Note: Dear Reviewer 2,
Thank you for the recommendation to accept the paper.
Kind regards,
-Authors -

Review Report Form

Quality of English Language: 1
Legend:
1: English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible
2: Extensive editing of English language and style required
3: Moderate English changes required
4: English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
5: I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper

Comments and Suggestions for Authors: Thank you for your modifications and work!

Submission Date: 27 July 2021
Date of this review: 17 Aug 2021 12:10:21

© 1996-2021 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated. Disclaimer | Terms and Conditions | Privacy Policy

Reviewer 3 round 1

Home Topics Information Author Services Information About
hank@jku.edu.au My Profile Logout Submit

– User Menu

- Home
- Manage Accounts
- Change Password
- Edit Profile
- Logout

– Submissions Menu

- Submit Manuscript
- Desktop Submission Manuscripts
- Desktop Co-Authored Manuscripts
- English Editing
- Discount Voucher
- Invoice
- Let Us Help You

– Reviewers Menu

- Review
- Submit Preference

Journal: [Electronics \(ISSN 2079-9292\)](#)

Manuscript ID: [electronics-1336170](#)

Type: Article

Title: [Real-time Identification of Knee Joint Walking Gait as Preliminary Signal for Developing Lower Limb Rehabilitation Controller](#)

Author: [Susanto Susanto](#), [Ignatius Tia Simanungkalit](#), [Rika Anika](#), [Daniel Susanto Panungkas](#), [Hendawan Soedharto](#), [Abdulhakim Saif](#), [Wahyu Cahayandika](#)

Section: [Systems & Control Engineering](#)

Abstract: This work introduces a wearable sensor which aims to recognize the walking gait phase. The sensor used in this work is an IMU sensor, used to recognize the pitch angle. In order to identify the walking gait cycle, Neural Network is proposed as a method. The gait cycle identifier is generated to recognize the gait cycle on the knee joint. To verify the performance of the proposed method, experiments have been done in real-time application. The experiments were conducted on four different processes such as walking on a flat floor, climbing up, and walking down stairs. The experiments show that the proposed method is able to recognize each gait cycle for all users when they wore the sensor on their knee joint.

The controller for this review report has been saved to the database. You can safely close this window.

Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 3)

Author's Note: Dear Reviewer,

Thank you for the comments. We have tried our best to revise the paper accordingly. The Author's reply to the review report and the revised paper are attached.

Kind regards,

- Author -

Author's Notes File: [Revised Notes](#)

Review Report Form

Quality of English Language

English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible

Extensive editing of English language and style required

Moderate English changes required

English language and style are fine/minor spell check required

I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper.

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the research design appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are the methods adequately described?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the results clearly presented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions by Author

I apologize, but the article has to be redesigned and is not acceptable in this state for publication in this journal.

The research is quite interesting, but the article has a lot of flaws. This does not mean that you cannot redesign and republish the article.

I have some recommendations and comments:

the abstract is poorly written, lacks a clearly declarable goal of the work and does not reflect the title of the paper;

nothing mentioned about rehabilitation in the abstract;

the definition of the department under the names and title of the article is not according to the standard;

the aim of the work needs to be clearly defined;

it is necessary to clearly define the goal of the work, what is important in terms of rehabilitation and why to place to the exoskeleton robot;

the method of investigation is not clearly defined, a test sample of research is determined, a weak experimental model is not specified and the expected results are not defined;

you have mentioned the results, but the results chapter is missing;

the conclusions chapter have to be extended;

images are of poor quality;

the used literature consists of conference papers. I recommend citing references from higher quality journals.

The article must be rewritten and it is not possible to publish it in this state.

Submission Date: 27 July 2021

Date of this review: 07 Aug 2021 16:03:55

© 1996-2023 MCP (Gene, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Discussion Terms and Conditions Privacy Policy

[Electronics] Manuscript ID: electronics-1336170; doi: 10.3390/electronics10172117. Paper has been published.

jingwen.guo@mdpi.com <jingwen.guo@mdpi.com>

on behalf of

electronics@mdpi.com <electronics@mdpi.com>

Tue 8/31/2021 4:49 PM

To: Susanto <susanto@polibatam.ac.id>; ipensiustuasimorangkir@gmail.com <ipensiustuasimorangkir@gmail.com>; Riska Analia <riskaanalia@polibatam.ac.id>; Daniel Sutopo Pamungkas <daniel@polibatam.ac.id>; Hendawan Soebhakti <hendawan@polibatam.ac.id>; Abdullah Sani <sani@polibatam.ac.id>; Dr Wahyu Caesarendra <wahyu.caesarendra@ubd.edu.bn>

Cc: billing@mdpi.com <billing@mdpi.com>; website@mdpi.com <website@mdpi.com>; electronics@mdpi.com <electronics@mdpi.com>; sienna.xu@mdpi.com <sienna.xu@mdpi.com>

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that your article "Real-Time Identification of Knee Joint Walking Gait as Preliminary Signal for Developing Lower Limb Exoskeleton" has been published in Electronics and is available online:

Abstract: <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/10/17/2117>

PDF Version: <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/10/17/2117/pdf>

The meta data of your article, the manuscript files and a publication certificate are available here (only available to corresponding authors after login):

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/f25931cec0d10d9cd04d9f49ea5d07c7

Please note that this is an early access version. The complete PDF, HTML, and XML versions will be available soon. You can reply to this email or send an email to production team (production@mdpi.com) if there is a problem. Note that at this stage we will not accept further changes to the manuscript text.

To encourage open scientific discussions and increase the visibility of published articles, MDPI recently implemented interactive commenting and recommendation functionalities on all article webpages (side bar on the right). We encourage you to forward the article link to your colleagues and peers.

We encourage you to set up your profile at www.SciProfiles.com, MDPI's researcher network platform. Articles you publish with MDPI will be linked to your SciProfiles page, where colleagues and peers will be able to see all of your publications, citations, as well as your other academic contributions. Please also feel free to send us feedback on the platform that we can improve it quickly and make it useful for scientific communities.

You can also share the paper on various social networks by clicking the links on the article webpage. Alternatively, our Editorial Office can post an

announcement of your article on our Twitter channel, please send us a text of up to 200 characters with spaces. Please note that our service Scitations.net will automatically notify authors cited in your article. For further paper promotion guidelines, please refer to the following link:

<https://www.mdpi.com/authors/promoting>.

We would be happy to keep you updated about new issue releases of electronics. Please enter your e-mail address in the box at <https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/toc-alert/> to receive notifications. After issue release, a version of your paper including the issue cover will be available to download from the article abstract page.

To order high quality reprints of your article in quantities of 25-1000, visit: <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/10/17/2117/reprints>

We support the multidisciplinary preprint platform /Preprints/, which permanently archives full text documents and datasets of working papers in all subject areas. Posting on the platform is entirely free of charge, and full details can be viewed at <http://www.preprints.org>.

We are dedicated to providing an outstanding publishing service, and we invite you to complete our author satisfaction survey <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/authorfeedbackmdpi>. The survey contains 20 short questions and will only take a couple of minutes to complete.

To help us improve our Production and English editing services, provided as part of MDPI's editorial process, please take a few minutes to participate in the following two surveys: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/G3K9DZ8> (for production service); <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/DCNXBW7> (for English editing service).

Thank you for choosing Electronics to publish your work, we look forward to receiving further contributions from your research group in the future.

Kind regards,

--

MDPI

Postfach, CH - 4020 Basel, Switzerland

Office: St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland

Tel. +41 61 683 77 34

Fax: +41 61 302 89 18

E-mail: website@mdpi.com

<https://www.mdpi.com/>